H-atom positions were calculated and confirmed in difference Fourier maps. The H atoms were refined as riding atoms with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. The program PLATON94 (Spek, 1994) with the module MISSYM (Le Page, 1987, 1988) was used to check for missed symmetry and found no extra crystallographic symmetry. PARST95 (Nardelli, 1996) located a pseudosymmetry between molecules A and B(pseudo-twofold axis, pseudo inversion centre). The reflection data were analyzed and it was observed that the reflections 0kl are systematically absent for l = 2n + 1. This indicates the monoclinic space group P2/c (interchanging a and b). However, the unit-cell angles are clearly different from 90° for two of the angles and the program TRACER (Lawton & Jacobson, 1965), which checks for other possible unit cell options, did not find any new solution. The conclusion is that the correct space group is $P\bar{1}$, but pseudosymmetry elements show that it is close to the monoclinic space group P2/c.

Data reduction: *DREADD* (Blessing, 1987, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976).

The authors thank Mr Flemming Hansen for collecting the X-ray data. The diffractometer and an X-ray generator were acquired by means of grants from the Danish Natural Science Research Council. PharmaBiotec, the Alfred Benzon Foundation and the Lundbeck Foundation are thanked for financial support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1102). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H. & Kennard, O. (1993). Chem. Des. Autom. News, 8, 31-37.
- Blessing, R. H. (1987). Crystallogr. Rev. 1, 3-58.
- Blessing, R. H. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 396-397.
- Brown, D. A., Coogan, R. A., Fitzpatrick, N. J., Glass, W. K., Abukshima, D. E., Shiels, L., Ahlgrén, M., Smolander, K., Pakkanen, T. T., Pakkanen, T. A. & Peräkylä, M. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 2673–2679.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Krüger, U. & Zinner, G. (1978). Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim), **311**, 39–47. Larsen, I. K. (1988). Acta Cryst. B**44**, 527–533.

- Lawton, S. L. & Jacobson, R. A. (1965). The Reduced Cell and its Crystallographic Applications, Ames Laboratory. Available from the Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information, National Institute of Standards and Technology, US Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia, USA.
- Le Page, Y. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 264-269.
- Le Page, Y. (1988). J. Appl. Cryst. 21, 983-984.
- Nardelli, M. (1996). J. Appl. Cryst. 29, 296-300.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1994). PLATON94. Molecular Graphics Program. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Zinner, G. & Krüger, U. (1975). Chem. Ztg, 99, 90.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 1093-1097

Four Methyl Azolyl-3-propenoates

Alexander J. Blake,[‡] Hamish McNab and Craig Thornley[†]

Department of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland. E-mail: a.j.blake@nott.ac.uk

(Received 10 March 1997; accepted 1 April 1997)

Abstract

The structures of four azolyl-3-propenoic esters, methyl (E)-3-(imidazol-4-yl)propenoate, (2), as its hemihydrate, $C_7H_8N_2O_2.0.5H_2O$, methyl (E)-3-(pyrrol-2-yl)propenoate, C₈H₉NO₂, (3), methyl (E)-3-(imidazol-2-yl)propenoate, $C_7H_8N_2O_2$, (4), and methyl (Z)-3-(imidazol-2-yl)propenoate, $C_7H_8N_2O_2$, (5), are reported. In the pyrrole and imidazole derivatives, (3) and (4), the N—H function has an s-Z configuration with respect to the propenoate chain, whereas in the urocanate, (2), protonation has occurred at the N atom further from the side chain. In (5), an intramolecular N— $H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen bond causes a relative widening of the angles in the side chain. In all four compounds, both the azole rings and the side chains are almost planar. In the hemihydrate of (2), there is extensive hydrogen bonding, primarily of the type $N - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ but supplemented by much longer C—H \cdots O interactions. In (3) and (4), the hydrogen bonding is much simpler, with molecules linked into ribbons via N-H···O contacts.

Comment

(E)-Urocanic acid, (1), is a naturally occurring metabolite of histidine, which comprises ca 0.5% of the dry weight of the epidermis. It is thought that (1) acts as a natural photoprotecting agent (Morrison, 1985) and for this reason there are many recent patents covering the uses of esters of (1) as components of sunscreens. Although the structure of (1) itself has been known for 20 years (Hawkinson, 1977; Svinning & Sørum, 1979), it occurs in the solid state as a zwitterion, (1a), and so is not directly relevant to the corresponding ester structure. In this paper, we report structural data for a series of four azolyl-3-propenoic esters comprising methyl (E)urocanate, (2) (as the hemihydrate), the corresponding pyrrol-2-yl and imidazol-2-yl derivatives, (3) and (4), and the imidazol-2-yl (Z)-propenoate, (5) (McNab & Thornley, 1997; Campbell et al., 1997).

In the structure of (3), there are two molecules per asymmetric unit and in (5) there are three molecules;

[†] Deceased.

[‡] Current address: Department of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, England.



no significant differences between the independent molecules in the asymmetric unit in each of these were noted, except as detailed below. In the pyrrole and imidazole derivatives, (3) and (4), the N-H bond adopts an s-Z configuration with respect to the propendate chain, whereas in the urocanate, (2), it is the N atom remote from the side chain which is protonated. The absence of an adjacent N—H bond allows the angle C4—C6—C7 in (2) to become more acute $[125.68(13)^{\circ}]$ than in the other E esters (>127°). The (Z)-propendate, (5), forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the N-H bond and the carbonyl O atom of the ester moiety [average parameters N—H 0.89 (3), O···H 1.84 (3) Å, N— $H \cdot \cdot O$ 149 (3)° and $O \cdot \cdot N$ 2.653 (3) Å]. This causes all the angles in the side chain to be widened (by $1.4-6.8^{\circ}$) with respect to the E esters, with the major differences occurring at C6 and C7.

A comparison of the bond lengths in the four structures reveals few significant differences. The parameters for the azole rings are little different from typical values for these systems. However, the small difference, in (3), between the N1—C5 and N1—C2 lengths is common in pyrroles with electron-withdrawing substituents in the 2-position (Blake, McNab & Thornley, 1995) and the N3—C4 bond in (2) is particularly long by comparison with the other structures. Both of these minor features may reflect the conjugative pathway from the N1 lone pair to the ester carbonyl function.

In all the structures, (2)-(5), the azole rings are planar [greatest ring deviations 0.003 Å in (2), (3) and (4)]; the propenoate chains as a whole are also close to being

planar, although the average deviations are *ca* one order of magnitude greater. The angles between the normals to these planes are small but significant, ranging from $0.85(11)^{\circ}$ in molecule *B* of (5), to 9.97(9) and 9.2(2)^{\circ} in (2) and (4), respectively.

In (2), which crystallizes as the hemihydrate with the water molecule on a twofold axis (Fig. 1), there is extensive hydrogen bonding; each water molecule acts as a double donor and double acceptor to four symmetryrelated molecules of (2). Each molecule of (2) forms one long and two short hydrogen bonds: the long contact involves C5-H5...O9ⁱ [H5...O9ⁱ 2.48 (2) Å and C5-H5...O9ⁱ 154 (2)°; symmetry code: (i) $x -\frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, y$ z]. The short contacts involve the water molecules and are (a) an N1—H1 \cdots O1W interaction within the same asymmetric unit, with H1...O1W 1.99(2) Å and N1-H1···O1W 176(2)°, and (b) an N3···H1Wⁱⁱ—O1Wⁱⁱ contact with $N3 \cdots H1W^{ii}$ 2.03 (2) Å and $N3 \cdots H1W^{ii}$ O1Wⁱⁱ 164 (2)° [symmetry code: (ii) $-\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{3}{2} - z$]. The structure may be visualized as alternating shells of water and (2), which are shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. A view of the structure of (2).0.5H₂O, showing the atomnumbering scheme and part of the hydrogen-bonded network. Displacement ellipsoids enclose 50% probability surfaces and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. [Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$; (ii) $-\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z$.]

The asymmetric unit of (3) contains two independent molecules (Fig. 2) which are hydrogen bonded $[O8\cdots H1'-N1', with O8\cdots H1', 2.03, (2) Å$ and $O8\cdots H1'-N1', 166, (4)^\circ]$. These pairs of molecules are further linked by N1-H1...O8'ⁱ contacts $[H1\cdots O8'^{i}, 1.98, (2) Å$ and N1-H1...O8'ⁱ, 169, (4)°; symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z] to form infinite ribbons running parallel to **a**.

In (4) (Fig. 3), molecules related by the a glide plane are linked into ribbons running in the **a** direction, in this



Fig. 2. A view of the structure of (3) showing the atom-numbering scheme for the two independent molecules, which are hydrogen bonded and alternate to form ribbons of molecules in the **a** direction. Displacement ellipsoids enclose 50% probability surfaces and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. [Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) x - 1, y, z.]



Fig. 3. A view of the structure of (4) showing the atom-numbering scheme. The hydrogen-bonded molecules are related by the 2_1 screw axis parallel to **a** and form ribbons running in that direction. Displacement ellipsoids enclose 50% probability surfaces and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. [Symmetry code: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, y, $\frac{1}{2} - z$.]

case by N1—H1···N3ⁱ contacts [H1···N3ⁱ 2.04 (3) Å and N1—H1···N3ⁱ 171 (3)°; symmetry code: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $y, \frac{1}{2} - z$].

There are no significant intermolecular hydrogen bonds in (5), only the intramolecular contact described above (see Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. A view of one of the three independent molecules of (5) showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids enclose 50% probability surfaces and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. The intramolecular N—H···O hydrogen bond closes a seven-membered ring.

Experimental

The urocanate, (2), was prepared by esterification of commercially available urocanic acid (Kimoto, Fujii & Cohen, 1984; McNab & Thornley, 1997). The esters, (3)–(5), were made by Wittig reactions of the appropriate azolylcarbaldehyde as described previously [(3) according to Campbell *et al.*, 1997; (4) and (5) according to McNab & Thornley, 1997]. Compound (2) was crystallized from ethyl acetate, (3) from toluene/hexane, (4) from benzene and (5) from hexane.

Compound (2)

Crystal data $C_7H_8N_2O_2.0.5H_2O$ $M_r = 161.16$ Monoclinic C2/c a = 7.498 (3) Å b = 12.564 (4) Å c = 16.296 (13) Å $\beta = 93.36 (4)^\circ$ $V = 1532.5 (15) Å^3$ Z = 8 $D_x = 1.397 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m \text{ not measured}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 18 reflections $\theta = 15-16^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.108 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (2) K Tablet $0.77 \times 0.77 \times 0.42 \text{ mm}$ Colourless

$C_7H_8N_2O_2.0.5H_2O,\ C_8H_9NO_2$ and two isomers of $C_7H_8N_2O_2$

Data collection

1096

Data collection Stoe Stadi-4 four-circle diffractometer with Oxford Cryosystems open-flow cryostat		1234 reflections with $l > 2\sigma(l)$ $\theta_{max} = 25.05^{\circ}$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$		2589 measured reflections 2589 independent reflections 918 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ Refinement		3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 16%	
(Cosier & Glazer, 1986) $\omega - 2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 1345 measured reflections 1345 independent reflections		$k = 0 \rightarrow 14$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 19$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity variation: ±1.5%		Refinement Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.058$ $wR(F^2) = 0.215$ S = 1.014 2589 reflections		$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.005$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL96 (Sheldrick,	
Refinement				206 parameters		1996)	
Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$ $wR(F^2) = 0.096$ S = 1.057 1345 reflections 142 parameters		$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL96</i> (Sheldrick, 1996)		H atoms freely refined (for H1 and H1', on N1 and N1', respectively) and riding model for all others $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.079P)^2 + 0.352P]$ where $P = (E^2 + 2E^2)/2$		Extinction coefficient: 0.0038 (13) Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)	
H atoms freely fer with common U $0.042 (2) \text{ Å}^2$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0$	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	O.0044 (12) Scattering factors International Ta	ent: from <i>ibles for</i>		+ 21 _c)/ J		
+ 0.924P]	· 25 ² 12	Crystallography	v (Vol. C)	Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (3)			
where $P = (P_o^{-1})^{-1}$	$+ 2F_{c}^{-})/3$	٥		N1—C5 N1—C2 C2—C3 C2—C6	1.352 (6) 1.366 (6) 1.365 (6) 1.433 (7)	C6—C7 C7—C8 C8—O8 C8—O9	1.322 (6) 1.459 (7) 1.213 (5) 1.343 (5)
Table 1. Select	ed geometri	ic parameters (A, ^o	^o) for (2)	C3—C4 C4—C5	1.389 (7) 1.359 (6)	О9—С9	1.437 (6)
N1C2 N1C5 C2N3 N3C4 C4C5 C4C6 C2N1C5	1.3523 (19) 1.362 (2) 1.3147 (19) 1.3873 (19) 1.3690 (19) 1.445 (2) 107.03 (12)	C6—C7 C7—C8 C8—O8 C8—O9 O9—C9 C7—C6—C4	1.330 (2) 1.473 (2) 1.2063 (18) 1.3486 (17) 1.4452 (18) 125.68 (13)	C5-N1C2 C3C2N1 C3C2C6 N1C2C6 C2C3C4 C5C4C3 N1C5C4	108.6 (4) 107.0 (5) 129.2 (5) 123.7 (5) 108.8 (5) 106.2 (5) 109.3 (5)	C7C6C2 C6C7C8 O8C809 O8C8C7 O9C8C7 C809C9	128.1 (5) 121.4 (5) 122.1 (5) 125.9 (5) 112.0 (4) 116.1 (4)
N3—C2—N1 C2—N3—C4 C5—C4—N3 C5—C4—C6 N3—C4—C6	112.23 (13) 104.95 (12) 109.45 (12) 127.22 (12) 123.31 (12)	C6—C7—C8 O8—C8—O9 O8—C8—C7 O9—C8—C7 C8—O9—C9	121.16 (13) 123.36 (12) 126.20 (13) 110.44 (11) 116.36 (11)	Compound (4)			
N1-C5-C4	106.33 (12)		,	Crystal data			
Compound (3) Crystal data				$C_7H_8N_2O_2$ $M_r = 152.15$ Orthorhombic <i>Pbca</i>		Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters reflections	on s from 30
C ₈ H ₉ NO ₂ $M_r = 151.16$ Monoclinic $P2_1/n$ a = 10.795 (3) Å b = 8.271 (1) Å c = 17.803 (3) Å $\beta = 92.957$ (15)°		Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 27 reflections $\theta = 12-13^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.092 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) K Lath		a = 9.706 (2) A b = 8.9898 (9) Å c = 17.714 (3) Å $V = 1545.6 (4) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 8 $D_{x} = 1.308 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{m} \text{ not measured}$		$\theta = 13-14^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.098 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 277 (2) K Plate $0.68 \times 0.54 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ Colourless	
p = 92.937 (13) $V = 1587.5 (6) Å^3$		$0.930 \times 0.175 \times 10^{-10}$	0.078 mm	Data collection			
Z = 8 $D_x = 1.265 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m \text{ not measured}$ Data collection		Colourless		Stoe Stadi-4 four-circle diffractometer with Oxford Cryosystems open-flow cryostat (Cosier & Glazer, 1986)		747 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $\theta_{max} = 25.02^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 10$	
Stoe Stadi-4 four-circle		$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.99^{\circ}$		$\omega - 2\theta$ scans		$l = -21 \rightarrow 0$	
ω -2 θ scans		$ \begin{array}{l} n = -12 \rightarrow 11 \\ k = 0 \rightarrow 9 \end{array} $		1365 measured reflections		frequency: 120 min	
Absorption correction: none		$l = 0 \rightarrow 21$		1365 independent reflections		intensity decay: none	

C)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.16 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.137$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.108	Extinction correction:
1365 reflections	SHELXL96 (Sheldrick,
104 parameters	1996)
H atoms riding, except for	Extinction coefficient:
H1 (bound to N1) which	0.0054 (11)
was refined freely	Scattering factors from
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.035P)^2]$	International Tables for
+ 1.04 <i>P</i>]	Crystallography (Vol. C
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 3. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (4)

N1-C2	1.342 (3)	C6—C7	1.318 (4)
N1C5	1.354 (4)	C7—C8	1.465 (4)
C2-N3	1.333 (3)	C8—O8	1.194 (4)
C2-C6	1.433 (4)	C809	1.340 (4)
N3-C4	1.361 (4)	O9—C9	1.452 (4)
C4C5	1.353 (4)		
C2-N1-C5	108.1 (2)	C7—C6—C2	127.3 (3)
N3-C2-N1	110.2 (2)	C6—C7—C8	121.5 (3)
N3C2C6	124.3 (3)	08-C8-09	123.7 (3)
N1C2C6	125.5 (3)	O8—C8—C7	125.5 (3)
C2-N3-C4	105.6 (2)	O9—C8—C7	110.8 (3)
C5C4N3	110.0 (3)	C8	116.7 (3)
C4-C5-N1	106.1 (3)		

Compound (5)

Crystal data

```
C_7H_8N_2O_2
M_r = 152.15
Monoclinic
P2_{1}/c
a = 15.700 (13) \text{ Å}
b = 18.927 (16) \text{ Å}
c = 7.311 (5) Å
\beta = 100.13 \ (6)^{\circ}
V = 2139 (3) Å<sup>3</sup>
Z = 12
D_x = 1.418 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}
D_m not measured
```

Data collection

Stoe Stadi-4 four-circle diffractometer with Oxford Cryosystems open-flow cryostat (Cosier & Glazer, 1986) ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: none 2782 measured reflections 2782 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ wR(F²) = 0.118 S = 1.0362782 reflections 308 parameters H atoms riding

- Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 10 reflections $\theta = 13 - 14^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.106 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 277 (2) K Needle $0.50 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ mm Colourless
- 1953 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 22.53^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 16$ $k=-20\rightarrow 0$ $l = -7 \rightarrow 7$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity variation: <1%

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.18 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -0.17 e Å⁻³ Extinction correction: SHELXL96 (Sheldrick, 1996) Extinction coefficient: 0.0031 (6)

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.06P)^2 + 0.63P]$	Scattering factors from International Tables for
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$	Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (5)

N1A-C2A	1.342 (3)	C6A—C7A	1.330 (4)
N1AC5A	1.343 (3)	C7AC8A	1.448 (4)
C2A—N3A	1.322 (3)	C8A—O8A	1.206 (3)
C2AC6A	1.420 (4)	C8A09A	1.323 (3)
N3A—C4A	1.348 (3)	O9A—C9A	1.428 (3)
C4AC5A	1.337 (4)		
C2A—N1A—C5A	107.9 (2)	C7A—C6A—C2A	133.3 (2)
N3A-C2A-N1A	110.3 (2)	C6AC7AC8A	127.8 (2)
N3A-C2A-C6A	122.5 (2)	08A-C8A-09A	122.0 (2)
N1AC2AC6A	127.2 (2)	O8A—C8A—C7A	127.1 (3)
C2A—N3A—C4A	105.1 (2)	O9A—C8A—C7A	110.9 (2)
C5A—C4A—N3A	110.9 (2)	C8AO9AC9A	115.8 (2)
C4A—C5A—N1A	105.9 (2)		

For all compounds, data collection: DIF4 (Stoe & Cie, 1990a); cell refinement: DIF4; data reduction: REDU4 (Stoe & Cie, 1990b); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL96 (Sheldrick, 1996); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL96.

The authors thank the EPSRC for provision of a fourcircle diffractometer and the University of Edinburgh for a Research Studentship (to CT).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HA1192). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Blake, A. J., McNab, H. & Thornley, C. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 2348-2350.

- Campbell, S. E., Comer, M. C., Derbyshire, P. A., Despinoy, X. L. M., McNab, H., Morrison, R., Sommerville, C. C. & Thornley, C. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. In the press.
- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst. 19, 105-107.
- Hawkinson, S. W. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 2288-2291.

Kimoto, H., Fujii, S. & Cohen, L. A. (1984). J. Org. Chem. 49, 1060-1064.

- McNab, H. & Thornley, C. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. In the press.
- Morrison, H. (1985). Photodermatology, 2, 158-165.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTL. Structure Determination Programs. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SHELXL96. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1990a). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 7.09. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1990b). REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 7.03. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Svinning, T. & Sørum, H. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 2813-2815.